

DISTRIBUTION OF COMMITTING COUNTY FOR INMATES ADMITTED FY 2006

COMMITTING COUNTY	BLACK MALES		BLACK FEMALES		WHITE MALES		WHITE FEMALES		OTHER MALES		OTHER FEMALES		TOTAL		RANK*
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
ABBEVILLE	34	0.4%	2	0.3%	23	0.6%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62	0.5%	40
AIKEN	187	2.4%	16	2.2%	136	3.5%	23	2.8%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	363	2.7%	11
ALLENDALE	28	0.4%	3	0.4%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34	0.3%	43
ANDERSON	167	2.2%	23	3.2%	227	5.8%	48	5.8%	7	3.7%	0	0.0%	472	3.5%	8
BAMBERG	21	0.3%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	0.2%	45
BARNWELL	47	0.6%	2	0.3%	17	0.4%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	69	0.5%	36
BEAUFORT	147	1.9%	12	1.7%	55	1.4%	12	1.5%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	229	1.7%	19
BERKELEY	164	2.1%	13	1.8%	148	3.8%	28	3.4%	4	2.1%	1	8.3%	358	2.7%	12
CALHOUN	23	0.3%	2	0.3%	9	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34	0.3%	43
CHARLESTON	865	11.2%	41	5.7%	194	5.0%	35	4.3%	7	3.7%	1	8.3%	1,143	8.5%	3
CHEROKEE	81	1.0%	10	1.4%	86	2.2%	16	1.9%	7	3.7%	0	0.0%	200	1.5%	21
CHESTER	36	0.5%	1	0.1%	10	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	49	0.4%	41
CHESTERFIELD	105	1.4%	4	0.6%	28	0.7%	12	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	149	1.1%	25
CLARENDRON	88	1.1%	7	1.0%	14	0.4%	5	0.6%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	115	0.9%	30
COLLETON	47	0.6%	4	0.6%	18	0.5%	3	0.4%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	73	0.5%	35
DARLINGTON	122	1.6%	8	1.1%	53	1.4%	20	2.4%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	204	1.5%	20
DILLON	75	1.0%	3	0.4%	23	0.6%	1	0.1%	6	3.2%	2	16.7%	110	0.8%	31
DORCHESTER	134	1.7%	11	1.5%	100	2.6%	14	1.7%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%	263	2.0%	14
EDGEFIELD	75	1.0%	3	0.4%	17	0.4%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	98	0.7%	33
FAIRFIELD	52	0.7%	6	0.8%	10	0.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	69	0.5%	36
FLORENCE	344	4.4%	27	3.7%	76	1.9%	21	2.6%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%	472	3.5%	8
GEORGETOWN	177	2.3%	16	2.2%	50	1.3%	5	0.6%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%	252	1.9%	16
GREENVILLE	637	8.2%	81	11.2%	498	12.8%	114	13.9%	41	21.7%	2	16.7%	1,373	10.2%	1
GREENWOOD	153	2.0%	19	2.6%	69	1.8%	18	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	259	1.9%	15
HAMPTON	37	0.5%	3	0.4%	6	0.2%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	0.4%	42
HORRY	363	4.7%	30	4.1%	280	7.2%	54	6.6%	16	8.5%	0	0.0%	743	5.5%	5
JASPER	43	0.6%	1	0.1%	19	0.5%	1	0.1%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	67	0.5%	38
KERSHAW	78	1.0%	13	1.8%	55	1.4%	10	1.2%	5	2.6%	0	0.0%	161	1.2%	24
LANCASTER	87	1.1%	9	1.2%	58	1.5%	11	1.3%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	167	1.2%	23
LAURENS	119	1.5%	10	1.4%	98	2.5%	17	2.1%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	247	1.8%	17
LEE	46	0.6%	2	0.3%	12	0.3%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	64	0.5%	39
LEXINGTON	281	3.6%	24	3.3%	226	5.8%	61	7.4%	10	5.3%	1	8.3%	603	4.5%	7
MARION	114	1.5%	12	1.7%	14	0.4%	2	0.2%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	143	1.1%	27
MARLBORO	83	1.1%	2	0.3%	29	0.7%	4	0.5%	6	3.2%	1	8.3%	125	0.9%	29
MCCORMICK	7	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	46
NEWBERRY	89	1.1%	14	1.9%	35	0.9%	6	0.7%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	145	1.1%	26
OCONEE	40	0.5%	8	1.1%	101	2.6%	20	2.4%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	170	1.3%	22
ORANGEBURG	187	2.4%	18	2.5%	20	0.5%	11	1.3%	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	238	1.8%	18
PICKENS	52	0.7%	10	1.4%	163	4.2%	36	4.4%	6	3.2%	0	0.0%	267	2.0%	13
RICHLAND	1,010	13.0%	121	16.7%	160	4.1%	49	6.0%	9	4.8%	0	0.0%	1,349	10.1%	2
SALUDA	41	0.5%	7	1.0%	21	0.5%	2	0.2%	8	4.2%	1	8.3%	80	0.6%	34
SPARTANBURG	441	5.7%	39	5.4%	363	9.3%	76	9.2%	11	5.8%	1	8.3%	931	6.9%	4
SUMTER	312	4.0%	37	5.1%	67	1.7%	14	1.7%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	431	3.2%	10
UNION	58	0.7%	7	1.0%	55	1.4%	14	1.7%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	135	1.0%	28
WILLIAMSBURG	97	1.3%	4	0.6%	7	0.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	110	0.8%	31
YORK	357	4.6%	37	5.1%	242	6.2%	41	5.0%	11	5.8%	2	16.7%	690	5.2%	6
<i>Non-Jurisdictional Inmates**</i>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
TOTAL	7,751	100.0%	723	100.0%	3,900	100.0%	822	100.0%	189	100.0%	12	100.0%	13,397	100.0%	

* Ranking is in descending order according to the number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked one.

** Includes Interstate Corrections Compact

*** All percentages rounded